UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ÁPPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,299	09/22/2006	Kameron W. Maxwell	MITOS.004NP	4357
	7590 11/19/2007 RTENS OLSON & BEA	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST	REET	RAE, CHARLESWORTH E		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, G			1614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

		Annting tion No	Applicant/o			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/554,299	MAXWELL, KAMERON W.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charleswort Rae	1614			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2006.					
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) cer No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Claims

Claims 1-31 are currently pending in this application.

It is noted that claims 16-23 recite the term "[t]he use." These claims are classified as "method of treatment claims" in view of the specification, but could reasonably be construed under the statutory class of "method of preparing."

## Restriction and Election Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of invention which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 in view of Carney et al. (US Patent 5,622,994; see especially col. 1, line 14 to col. 4, line 17) and Hsia (US Patent 5,725,839; see especially abstract). In particular, Carney et al. teach 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidne-1-oxyl (i.e. Tempo), which is recited in instant claim 2, for example (col. 3, line 5 to col. 10, line 37).

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims must be restricted.

- ١. Claims 1-23, drawn to a method for treatment. If this Group is elected, then the below Summarized Species Election is also required.
- 11. Claims 24-31, drawn to a medicament for preventing a harmaful effect of ischemia. If this Group is elected, then the below Summarized Species Election is also required.

Art Unit: 1614

Thus, the requirement is proper as the inventions represented above as Groups I-II lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1.

## Species Election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. For example, the generic inventions encompass various nitroxide compound species, various medical procedure species, various routes of administration, and various method of treatment species with different method steps. The end therapeutic effects to be achieved with these different species would reasonably differ substantially depending on the specific nitroxide compound species, and/or medical procedure species, and/or number and sequence of the specific method steps employed in practicing the instant invention. Thus, these species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species from the below list for purposes of examination; namely,

- a) a single specific chemically defined nitroxide compound species e.g. 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidne-1-oxyl;
  - b) a single specific medical procedure species e.g. surgery;
  - c) a single specific route of administration e.g. oral
- d) In addition, if applicant elects Group I, then applicant is further required to elect a single specific method species wherein the method steps are specifically defined.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,299

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement <u>must include an identification</u> of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to the additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after election, applicant <u>must</u> indicate which are readable upon the elected species (MPEP 809.02(a). Claims 1, 9, and 24 are considered generic to the above species.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the

Application/Control Number: 10/554,299

Art Unit: 1614

requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charlesworth Rae whose telephone number is 571-272-6029. The examiner can normally be reached between 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http:pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,299 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 800-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

30 October 2007 CER

> "AN-YONG S. KWOK "IMARY EXAMINEP